

Exemption to Competitive Purchase

An Exemption to Competitive Purchase shall be approved before routing the purchase requisition for purchases expected to total less than \$35,000 in one fiscal year. This form shall be used to justify Emergency, Single-Source, Sole-Source and Standardization

205	purchases.	OF OTTOXY			-
Date: 4/21/21	SECTION A: General Account: 421-4010-536.31-00		Amount Requested: \$50,000.00		
Dept/Div: 4010 Utility/Administration	Requester: J. Walsh			Quote Attached:	5.71
	g con-position and the second			200 - 100 -	
Vendor (Name, Phone, Email)	de la Parte and Gilbert			Emergency Purchase:	Yes No
Is Vendor Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM)?	Yes	No	(If YES, atta attempt to just	gle Source Purchase ch no quote from vendor or email tify use of single source selection)	Yes No
Can multiple vendors provide the same or salient product / service?	Yes	No	(If YES, attack sole source of	le Source Purchase h vendor memo, letterhead, stating product / service with OEM dealer, utor, reseller authorization)	Yes No
Is this purchase or project to be capitalized?	Yes Standardization Purchase (If YES, provide detailed desciption, attach supporting documentation if applicable)				Yes X No
SECTION B: Justification					
Use section to provide a detailed	4.70				
justified. Include the purpo Product or Service Description	l the servic	es or products	and now it d	irecuy impacis department	operations.
(Include Model / Part No., if applicable)	de la Parte and Gilbert will provide legal services and act as lead counsel for the consumptive use permit renewal with SJRWMD. If necessary, they will act as lead counsel in any challenges to the CUP and lead a team of experts to legally protect the city's rights.				
Describe purpose of product or service.	The City of Cocoa will be renewing its CUP and legal services are necessary in order to protect our current rights and to negotiate terms and conditions to be associated with the new CUP.				
What features of product or service makes this unique and exceptional to other comparable products or services?	de la Parte and Gilbert have previously represented the City of Cocoa on previous water matters with respect to the water plant, the Deseret Ranch and other issues concerning the rights of the potable water system. No other attorney has prior history and understanding of the Cocoa system and this is great benefit to the City to use them.				
If applicable, describe why this	N/A				
product or service is compatible to					
existing equipment, instrumentation					
or services. If not enter N/A.					
If applicable, is this purchase in	N/A				
effort to support standardization of equipment or services? Provide					
description of how it will benefit					
department or operations. If not					
enter N/A.					
Use this section to document e		C: Justification			s not apply for
ose this section to document en		rgency Produc	7.00		з посарріў тог
Brief description of efforts made to resource other providers of product or services.	de la Parte and Gilbert has worked with the city and has propriatory knowldge that other vendors do not have. In addition, de la Parte and Gilbert specialiize in water rights permitting and law.				
Describe consequence to operations if exemption is not declared.	The city needs a specialized water rights attorney to move its CUP forward. Delays to the CUP will also delay the potential agreements to the Taylor Creek Reservoir negotiations with SJRWMD and the other water suppliers.				
How will department or program function if product or service is discontinued?	The city would not be able to start the CUP renewal with SJRWMD and impact negotiations with SJRWMD and the other water suppliers for the TCR project.				
Describe how pricing was	de la Parte and Gilbert has offered services via their engagement letter outlining their hourly costs and reimbursable expenses. Since the time and effort necessary to complete the CUP process is highly variable and unpredictable, the time and material proposal is recommended and acceptable.				
determined to be most responsive,					
responsible and best value to	variable and ampredictable, the time and material proposal is recommended and acceptable.				
department. Florida Statute 838.22(2), BRIBERY	V MISHE OF D	TIBLIC OFFIC	F which anni	ies to public servents working	o for municipal
government agencies, reads as follow			and the same of th	- Child in the - the control of the	- Control of the cont
governmental entity to assist in a con			Control of the Contro		
cause unlawful harm to another by c	ircumventing a		icitation proce	ess required by law or rule thr	ough the use of a
Requesting Department Director Approval			John "Jack" W	Digitally signed by Heath Hancher	4/21/21
Purchasing Manager Approval Finance Director Approval			Heath Hancher Rebecca Bowm	Date: 2021.04.21 16:45:36 -04'00'	4/21/21
Finance Staff Comments					-